



Lao People's Democratic Republic  
Peace Independence Democracy Unity Prosperity

**ADB Grant No.0534-LAO: Northern Rural Infrastructure Development Sector Project  
Additional Financing (NRI-AF)**

NRI-AF Project Office, DOI, MAF  
P.O.Box 811, Ban Phonexay, Xaysettha District,  
Vientiane Capital, Lao PDR

Telephone : 856-21-417778  
Fax : 856-21-417778  
E-mail: [NPMO.NRI@gmail.com](mailto:NPMO.NRI@gmail.com)

Date: 12<sup>th</sup> April 2021

**Publication of Award of Contract for  
Contract No NRI-AF-NCB-012**

**Detailed summary**

<b>Project name:</b>	<b>ADB Grant No 0534-LAO (SF): Northern Rural Infrastructure Development Sector Project-Additional Financing</b>
<b>Package/contract name:</b>	NRI-AF-NCB-012: Nam Hoy-Nam Lou Irrigation Rehabilitation in BounNeau, Phongsaly Province
<b>Package value in Procurement Plan and Engineer's Estimate Cost</b>	US\$ 1.102.727 and US\$ 713.752,08, respectively
<b>Bidding document issued date:</b>	17 <sup>th</sup> August 2020
<b>Selection and bid method:</b>	National Competitive Bidding and 1 stage, 1 envelope
<b>Bid closing and opening date:</b>	16:00 hrs, 17 <sup>th</sup> September 2020 and 16:15 hrs, 17 <sup>th</sup> September 2020
<b>Number of bid sold and received:</b>	Nine (9) and seven (7)
<b>The validity period of the bids:</b>	120 days from 17 <sup>st</sup> September 2020 to 15 <sup>th</sup> January 2021
<b>The validity period of Bid securing declaration:</b>	From 17 <sup>th</sup> September 2020 to 12 <sup>th</sup> February 2021
<b>Bid Evaluation Report signing date by EA, ADB's Approval date:</b>	27 <sup>th</sup> November 2020, 10 <sup>th</sup> March 2021 and, respectively
<b>EA's approval and Contract signing date:</b>	24 <sup>th</sup> March 2021 and 2 <sup>nd</sup> April 2021, respectively

**Project Description:** *The Northern Rural Infrastructure Development Sector Project – Additional Financing was approved by the Asian Development Bank (ADB) on 31 May 2017. The project impact is improved rural household incomes in the four northern provinces of Bokeo, Louang-Namtha, Oudomxai, and Phongsali. The outcome is increased agricultural productivity in the four project provinces. There are four outputs (i) productivity-enhancing rural infrastructure constructed and rehabilitated; (ii) productivity-and impact enhancing initiatives adopted; (iii) capacity of national, provincial, and district agencies strengthened to enable a sector development approach; and (iv) efficient and effective delivery of subprojects and project management.*

<b>Name of Awarded Bidder</b>	<b>Quoted Bid Price (US\$)</b>	<b>Total Contract Price (US\$)</b>
Sisaketh Construction Sole Co., Ltd	691.697,00	691.697,00
<b>Reasons for contract awarded:</b>	The lowest evaluated substantially responsive bid	

**Evaluated Bidder (s)**

Name of Evaluated Bidder(s)		Quoted Bid Price (US\$)	Reasons for Rejection of the Bids
1	Sengfaly Construction Co., Ltd	680.014,00 (Discounted \$ 9.014 of total bid price)	The bid was failure to comply with (i) Specific construction and contract management because no bill of quantity submitted for evaluation and proving the similarity as specified in Section III-EXP-4.2(a).
2	Sompasong Building, Road-Bridge and Irrigation Construction Co., Ltd	691.010,00	The bids were failure to comply with (i) Network because no audited balance sheet submitted for proving it; (ii) AACT because no audited balance sheet submitted for proving it; (iii) financial resource did not meet the requirement because no reference from the company's financial statement or credit line submitted for evaluation; (iv) Specific construction and contract management because no bill of quantity submitted for evaluation and proving the similarity as specified in Section III-EXP-4.2(a); and (v) Construction experience in key activities because no sufficient reference submitted for proving these key activities
3	DALA Construction Sole Co., Ltd	1.206.152,00 (Discounted 9% of total bid price)	The bids were failure to comply with (i) financial resource was negative; (ii) Specific construction and contract management because only one contract complied, and another contract omitted bill of quantity submitted for evaluation and proving the similarity as specified in Section III-EXP-4.2(a); and (iii) Construction experience in key activities because no sufficient reference submitted for proving these key activities.
4	Vilay Pattana Construction Sole Co., Ltd	568.646,00 (Discounted \$8.646 of total bid price)	The bid was failure to comply with technical proposal because the bids were proposed the same personnel and construction equipment for other subprojects such as for NRI-AF-NCB-010, and NRI-AF-NCB-011.
5	PDL Achitec Design & Construction Sole Co., Ltd	616.137,00	The bid was evaluated as incomplete because the bids were omitted required forms i.e. (i) Form EL-1-1, (ii) Form CON-2, (iii) Form CCC, (iv) Form FIN-3.1, (vi) Form FIN-3.3, and (vii) EXP-4.2b.
6	Camesine Construction and Bridge Company Sole Co., Ltd	630.815,00	The bids were evaluated as incomplete i.e. Form Con-2, Form CCC, FIN3.1, source of finance, FIN3.2, FIN3.3, EXP-4.2(a) and EXP-4.2(b).

Prepared by



Phaythoune Phomvixay, Ph.D.  
National Project Manager, NRI-AF  
Department of Irrigation, MAF