



Lao People's Democratic Republic
Peace Independence Democracy Unity Prosperity

**ADB Grant No.0534-LAO: Northern Rural Infrastructure Development Sector Project
Additional Financing (NRI-AF)**

NRI-AF Project Office, DOI, MAF
P.O.Box 811, Ban Phonexay, Xaysettha District,
Vientiane Capital, Lao PDR

Telephone : 856-21-417778
Fax : 856-21-417778
E-mail: NPMO.NRI@gmail.com

Date: 20 January 2022

**Publication of Award of Contract for
Contract No NRI-AF-NCB-011**

Detailed summary

Project name:	ADB Grant No 0534-LAO (SF): Northern Rural Infrastructure Development Sector Project-Additional Financing
Package/contract name:	NRI-AF-NCB-011: Nam Dong Nouark Irrigation Rehabilitation in Nhot Ou District, Phongsaly Province
Package value in Procurement Plan and Engineer's Estimate Cost	US\$ 1.102.727 and US\$ 699.670,67, respectively
Bidding document issued date:	17 August 2020
Selection and bid method:	National Competitive Bidding and 1 stage, 1 envelope
Bid closing and opening date:	14:00 hrs, 17 September 2020 and 14:15 hrs, 17 September 2020
Number of bid sold and received:	Seven (7) and six (6)
The validity period of the bids:	120 days from 17 September 2020 to 15 January 2021, extended to 31 December 2021
The validity period of Bid securing declaration:	From 17 September 2020 to 12 February 2021, extended to 28 January 2022
Bid Evaluation Report signing date by EA, ADB's Approval date:	19 November 2020, 6 January 2022 and, respectively
EA's approval and Contract signing date:	13 January 2022 and 19 January 2022, respectively

Project Description: *The Northern Rural Infrastructure Development Sector Project – Additional Financing was approved by the Asian Development Bank (ADB) on 31 May 2017. The project impact is improved rural household incomes in the four northern provinces of Bokeo, Louang-Namtha, Oudomxai, and Phongsali. The outcome is increased agricultural productivity in the four project provinces. There are four outputs (i) productivity-enhancing rural infrastructure constructed and rehabilitated; (ii) productivity-and impact enhancing initiatives adopted; (iii) capacity of national, provincial, and district agencies strengthened to enable a sector development approach; and (iv) efficient and effective delivery of subprojects and project management.*

Name of Awarded Bidder	Quoted Bid Price (US\$)	Total Contract Price (US\$)
Sisaketh Construction Sole Co., Ltd	678.535,00	678.535,00
Reasons for contract awarded:	The lowest evaluated substantially responsive bid	

Evaluated Bidder (s)

Name of Evaluated Bidder(s)	Quoted Bid Price (US\$)	Reasons for Rejection of the Bids
1 Sengfaly Construction Co., Ltd	638.642,00 (Discounted \$16.642 of total bid price)	The bid was failure to comply with construction equipment because after clarifications were made to prove the ownership of the list equipment proposed in the original bid and the latest due diligence made for filming on the equipment of your own company and MCL that you proposed to use for the construction subproject. In conclusion, the BEC and ADB found that your bid was failure to comply with the requirement.
2 Sompasong Building, Road-Bridge and Irrigation Construction Co., Ltd	590.091,00	The bids were failure to comply with (i) Network because no audited balance sheet submitted for proving it; (ii) AACT because no audited balance sheet submitted for proving it; (iii) financial resource did not meet the requirement because no reference from the company's financial statement or credit line submitted for evaluation; (iv) Specific construction and contract management because no bill of quantity submitted for evaluation and proving the similarity as specified in Section III-EXP-4.2(a); and (v) Construction experience in key activities because no sufficient reference submitted for proving these key activities
3 DALA Construction Sole Co., Ltd	890.457,00 (Discounted 9% of total bid price)	The bids were failure to comply with (i) financial resource was negative; (ii) Specific construction and contract management because only one contract complied, and another contract omitted bill of quantity submitted for evaluation and proving the similarity as specified in Section III-EXP-4.2(a); and (iii) Construction experience in key activities because no sufficient reference submitted for proving these key activities.
4 Vilay Pattana Construction Sole Co., Ltd	671.750,00 (Discounted \$50.750 of total bid price)	The bid was failure to comply with technical proposal because the bids proposed the same personnel and construction equipment for other subprojects such as for NRI-AF-NCB-010, and NRI-AF-NCB-012.
5 PDL Achitec Design & Construction Sole Co., Ltd	616.733,00	The bid was evaluated as incomplete because the bids were omitted required forms i.e. (i) Form EL-1-1, (ii) Form CON-2, (iii) Form CCC, (iv) Form FIN-3.1, (vi) Form FIN-3.3, and (vii) EXP-4.2b.

Prepared by



Phaythoune Phomvixay, Ph.D.
National Project Manager, NRI-AF
Department of Irrigation, MAF